

MINUTES OF THE 1ST ANNUAL MEETING OF THE LILLY LAKE
REHABILITATION DISTRICT - SATURDAY, AUGUST 31, 1974

The meeting was called to order by District Chairman Tom Grady at 2:00 P.M. About 300 people were in attendance.

The meeting was advised that Thomas Grady is Chairman of the Board of Commissioners of the Lake District and Homer Steffan is Secretary-Treasurer with Robert Lenz the third member of the Board and Vice-Chairman.

The purpose of the meeting, according to Tom Grady, was to accomplish:

- 1) to approve or disapprove the proposal project;
- 2) to approve an operational budget and vote a tax to cover the cost of operation for the coming year.

Guest present at the meeting were, among others; Herb Johnson of Jensen and Johnson Engineers; William Phenicie, Attorney for the Town of Wheatland; and Russ Olsen, the state representative from the District. The Town Dredging Committee was represented by officers Don Puchalski, Robert Riley and Dennis Roth.

The Attorney read the letter from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (a copy of which is attached) replying to the Dredging Committee's Newsletter #9 (a copy of which is attached).

Herb Johnson gave a summary of the proposed project and answered the following questions:

- 1) Who will replace the sand?

Ans. If any sand is removed from the area of beach in front of a residence it will be replaced as a cost of operation of dredging. However, sand will not be placed where there was move to begin with.

- 2) Will the springs be opened, and if so, will the water still need to be returned to the lake?

Ans. That is a possibility and if so the cost of the dredging could be reduced by not being required to return the water to the lake.

- 3) Will the entire shoreline be dredged - i.e. how close to shore will the dredge remove bottom materials?

Ans. As close as practical.

4) Would removing only three to four feet of muck help the weed situation?

Ans. Weed growth would be severely curtailed.

5) How long will the dredging take?

Ans. 2 to 4 years maybe 5 years using a 10" dredge and dredging as long as practical - about 8 months of the year.

6) How would the spoils and return water get across county road JI?

Ans. Pipes would be placed under the road surface and an easement across private property would be obtained.

7) Will the spoils be removed from the spoil site after completion of the project?

Ans. The spoils would probably stay but would be contoured into the surrounding land.

8) What would keep the cost of the project from greatly increasing beyond the proposal cost - what is the safety valve?

Ans. Methods of financing would limit the cost as well as special assessment hearings upon determination of state aid.

Next the attorney discussed the various methods of financing the project. He said these are (as listed in the attached paper entitled, "What are the Alternative Methods of Financing the District?").

State Representative Russ Olsen commented on the project and the proceedings. He stated we should continue as we have been, take a vote, and hope for the best concerning the receiving of state aid. He pointed out that communities must help themselves first and must be ready to accept state funds if they are ever to get them. Questions were raised and answered concerning the availability of federal and state funding.

Next the Attorney explained the voting procedure and who would be allowed to vote. This is explained in the accompanying letter entitled "Lilly Lake Rehabilitation District - Who May Vote on the Proposal?" Questions were answered by the Attorney concerning the voting.

At about 2:45 P.M. the voting commenced by secret ballot. Gen Uhen and Ruth Sherman were ballont clerks. At about 3:45 P.M. the voting was over. 271 votes were cast. 164 votes were YES on the proposal; 107 were NO. The project was declared approved at the

annual meeting by Chairman Tom Grady upon announcing the results of the vote. Most of the people left the meeting after they voted.

Next, a motion was made by Louis Puchalski to vote a tax of $\frac{1}{4}$ mill on the District for operation costs for the coming year. The motion was seconded by Ken Koch. The motion carried 26 for and 5 against after a discussion on the need for the tax.

The meeting agreed to hold the next annual meeting of the District on Saturday, July 5, 1975 at 4:00 P.M.

Louis Pucholski moved the meeting be adjourned. Paula Roth seconded it. The motion carried and the meeting adjourned about 4:15 P.M.

Respectively Submitted,

DJP/du